

T estpassport Q&A



La meilleure qualité le meilleur service

<http://www.testpassport.fr>

Service de mise à jour gratuit pendant un an

Exam : LookML-Developer

**Title : Looker LookML Developer
Exam**

Version : DEMO

1.The code below shows a vieworder_items with its measures total_revenue and user_count

```
view: order_items {  
  
measure: total_revenue {  
  
type: sum  
  
sql: ${TABLE}.sale_price ;;  
  
}  
  
measure: user_count {  
  
type: count_distinct  
  
sql: ${users.id} ;;  
  
}  
}
```

Which code correctly represents a new measure that calculates average revenue per user?

A)

```
measure: average_revenue_per_user {  
  
type: number  
  
sql: ${total_revenue}/${user_count} ;;  
  
}
```

B)

```
measure: average_revenue_per_user {  
  
type: average  
  
sql: ${total_revenue}/${user_count} ;;  
  
}
```

C)

```
measure: average_revenue_per_user {  
  
type: number  
  
sql: ${total_revenue}/${users.id};;  
  
}
```

D)

```
measure: average_revenue_per_user {  
  
type: average sql: ${total_revenue}/${users.id};;  
  
}
```

- A. Option A
- B. Option B
- C. Option C
- D. Option D

Answer: C

2.A user needs to create a report that shows a count of all orders and of orders over \$100. Which solution should the developer implement to meet these requirements?

- A. An always_filter parameter
- B. A front-end filter in the Explore
- C. A sql_always_where parameter
- D. A filtered measure

Answer: B

3.A LookML developer builds a view that contains sensitive information. Only members of the Management group should have access to the view. The developer needs to restrict the view from appearing in the field picker for any Explore where it might be joined for users outside of the Management group.

Which LookML parameter should the developer use to meet this requirement?

- A. access_grant
- B. always_filter
- C. access_filter
- D. sql_always_where

Answer: A

Explanation:

Reference: <https://docs.looker.com/admin-options/tutorials/permissions>

4.A developer wants to create a measure that shows the item count broken out by category. When a second, more granular dimension is added to the same query, the count broken out by category should still

represent the original aggregation and be duplicated on each line. The business wants this “count” in “category” available in the Explore section without any additional work done by the end user.

For example:

The Count column represents the count for each combination of Category and Item.

The Count in Category column represents the count for each Category only.

Category	Item	Count	Count in Category
Fruit	Watermelon	3	10
Fruit	Apple	4	10
Fruit	Orange	3	10
Clothes	Pants	2	5
Clothes	Shirt	3	5

How can the developer address this need with a LookML object?

- A. Create a measure filtered on Category, and make the filter value controlled by a parameter.
- B. Calculate the measure using a derived table, and then join that derived table back into the Explore.
- C. Create a measure with type: sum_over_dimension, and make the dimension value controlled by a parameter.
- D. Calculate the overall count using table calculations in the Explore.

Answer: B

5.A user reports that, when a date dimension is filtered to “before now” results are returned that consistently include tomorrow. Dimension fill has been ruled out as a cause of the issue.

Which LookML parameter should be used to resolve this issue?

- A. Week_start_day
- B. Convert_tz
- C. Datatype
- D. Fiscal_month_offset

Answer: D